



## 4.2 METHODOLOGY AND TOOLS

### 2021 HMP CHANGES

- The risk assessment was updated using best available information.
- Hazard events and associated impacts were researched and summarized from 2015 to 2021
- 2015-2019 ACS 5-year estimates were utilized
- 2020 building footprints from the County, 2019 parcel data from the County, and 2019 MOD-IV tax assessor data were referenced to develop a structure-level building inventory. This inventory was updated with 2021 RS Means values to estimate the replacement cost value of each building.
- The 2016 critical facility was reviewed and updated by the Planning Partnership.
- Lifelines were identified in the critical facility inventory, and additional lifelines added, to align with FEMA’s lifeline definition.
- An updated version of Hazus (v4.2) was used to estimate potential impacts to the flood, wind and seismic hazards.
- Best available hazard data was used as described in this section.

The following summarizes the asset inventories, methodology and tools used to support the risk assessment process.

#### 4.2.1 ASSET INVENTORIES

Mercer County assets were identified to assess potential exposure and loss associated with the hazards of concern. For the HMP update, Mercer County assessed exposure vulnerability of the following types of assets: population, buildings and critical facilities/infrastructure and the environment. Some assets may be more vulnerable because of their physical characteristics or socioeconomic uses. To protect individual privacy and the security of critical facilities, information on properties assessed is presented in aggregate, without details about specific individual personal or public properties.

##### Population

Total population statistics from the 2015-2019 ACS Survey 5-year estimate were used to estimate the exposure and potential impacts to the County’s population in place of the 2010 U.S. Census block estimates. Population counts at the Census tract level were averaged among the residential structures in the County to estimate the population at the structure level. This estimate is a more precise distribution of population across the County compared to only using the Census block or Census tract boundaries. Limitations of these analyses are recognized, and thus the results are used only to provide a general estimate for planning purposes.

As discussed in Section 3 (County Profile), research has shown that some populations are at greater risk from hazard events because of decreased resources or physical abilities. Vulnerable populations in Mercer County included in the risk assessment are children, elderly, and population below the poverty level.



The risk assessment included the collection and use of an expanded and enhanced asset inventory to estimate hazard exposure and vulnerability



## Buildings

The building stock inventory was updated countywide. To develop the building inventory, parcels from the 2019 MOD-IV tax assessor data obtained from the New Jersey Geographic Information Network Open Data portal, 2020 building footprints obtained from the County, and 2019 parcel data obtained from the County were used. Attributes provided in the spatial files were used to further define each structure in terms of occupancy class, construction type, etc. Default information was used to fill in the gaps for building attributes. The centroid of each building footprint was used to estimate the building location. Structural and content replacement cost values (RCV) were calculated for each building utilizing available assessor data and RSMMeans 2021 values; a regional location factor for Mercer County was applied (1.15 for residential structures; 1.11 for non-residential structures). Replacement cost value is the current cost of returning an asset to its pre-damaged condition, using present-day cost of labor and materials. Total replacement cost value consists of both the structural cost to replace a building and the estimate value of contents of a building. The occupancy classes available in Hazus v4.2 were condensed into the following categories (residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, religious, governmental, and educational) to facilitate the analysis and the presentation of results. Residential loss estimates address both multi-family and single-family dwellings.

## Critical Facilities and Lifelines

The 2016 HMP critical facility inventory, which includes essential facilities, utilities, transportation features and user-defined facilities was updated by the Planning Partnership. The update involved a review for accuracy, additions or deletions of new/moved critical assets, identification of backup power for each asset (if known) and whether the critical facility is considered a lifeline in accordance with FEMA’s definition; refer to Appendix E (Risk Assessment Supplement). To protect individual privacy and the security of assets, information is presented in aggregate, without details about specific individual properties or facilities.

*A lifeline provides indispensable service that enables the continuous operation of critical business and government functions, and is critical to human health and safety, or economic security (FEMA).*

## Environment

The NJDEP Land Use Land Cover dataset was published in 2019 for the 2015 aerial coverage. This dataset models land cover change between 2012 and 2015. The classes used from this dataset summarized land use exposure aggregated by agricultural, barren, forested, urban, and wetland land use land cover types.

## New Development

In addition to assessing the vulnerability of the built environment, Mercer County examined recent and anticipated new development. Each jurisdiction was asked to provide input via Survey 123 for all major development that has taken place over the last 5 years and anticipated major development over the next 5 years. Additionally, the NJ Sewer Service Areas were included because they are areas of future potential growth.

An exposure analysis was conducted in GIS to determine hazard exposure to major development planned for the next 5 years as provided by the County and municipalities. Identifying these changes and integrating into the risk assessment provides communities information to consider when developing the mitigation strategy to reduce these vulnerabilities in the future (one tool in the Mitigation Toolbox discussed in Section 6 – Mitigation Strategy). The identified new development is listed in Section 3 (County Profile) and hazard exposure analysis results are presented in Section 9 (Jurisdictional Annexes) as a table in each annex.



### 4.2.2 METHODOLOGY

To address the requirements of the DMA 2000 and better understand potential vulnerability and losses associated with hazards of concern, Mercer County used standardized tools, combined with local, state, and federal data and expertise to conduct the risk assessment. Three different levels of analysis were used depending upon the data available for each hazard as described below. Table 4.2-1 summarizes the type of analysis conducted by hazard of concern.

- **Historic Occurrences and Qualitative Analysis** – This analysis includes an examination of historic impacts to understand potential impacts of future events of similar size. In addition, potential impacts and losses are discussed qualitatively using best available data and professional judgement.
- **Exposure Assessment** – This analysis involves overlaying available spatial hazard layers, or hazards with defined extent and locations, with assets in GIS to determine which assets are located in the impact area of the hazard. The analysis highlights which assets are located in the hazard area and may incur future impacts.
- **Loss estimation** — The FEMA Hazus modeling software was used to estimate potential losses for the following hazards: flood, earthquake, hurricane. In addition, an examination of historic impacts and an exposure assessment was conducted for these spatially-delineated hazards.

Table 4.2-1. Summary of Risk Assessment Analyses

| Hazard                           | Population | General Building Stock | Critical Facilities and Lifelines | New Development |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Dam Failure                      | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Disease Outbreak                 | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Drought                          | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Earthquake                       | E, H       | E, H                   | E, H                              | E               |
| Flood                            | E, H       | E, H                   | E, H                              | E               |
| Geological Hazards               | E          | E                      | E                                 | E               |
| Hazardous Substances             | E          | E                      | E                                 | E               |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm         | E, H       | E, H                   | E, H                              | E               |
| Infestation and Invasive Species | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Nor'Easter                       | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Severe Weather                   | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Severe Winter Weather            | Q          | Q                      | Q                                 | Q               |
| Wildfire                         | E          | E                      | E                                 | E               |

E – Exposure analysis; H – Hazus analysis; Q – Qualitative analysis

#### Hazards U.S. – Multi-Hazard (Hazus)

In 1997, FEMA developed a standardized model for estimating losses caused by earthquakes, known as Hazards U.S. or Hazus. Hazus was developed in response to the need for more effective national-, state-, and community-level planning and the need to identify areas that face the highest risk and potential for loss. Hazus was expanded into a multi-hazard methodology, Hazus-MH, with new models for estimating potential losses from wind (hurricanes) and flood (riverine and coastal) hazards. Hazus is a Geographic Information System (GIS)-based software tool that applies engineering and scientific risk calculations, which have been developed by hazard and information technology experts, to provide defensible damage and loss estimates. These methodologies are accepted by FEMA and provide a consistent framework for assessing risk across a variety



of hazards. The GIS framework also supports the evaluation of hazards and assessment of inventory and loss estimates for these hazards.

Hazus uses GIS technology to produce detailed maps and analytical reports that estimate a community’s direct physical damage to building stock, critical facilities, transportation systems and utility systems. To generate this information, Hazus uses default Hazus provided data for inventory, vulnerability, and hazards; this default data can be supplemented with local data to provide a more refined analysis. Damage reports can include induced damage (inundation, fire, threats posed by hazardous materials and debris) and direct economic and social losses (casualties, shelter requirements, and economic impact) depending on the hazard and available local data. Hazus’ open data architecture can be used to manage community GIS data in a central location. The use of this software also promotes consistency of data output now and in the future and standardization of data collection and storage. More information on Hazus is available at <http://www.fema.gov/hazus>.

In general, modeled losses were estimated in the program using user-defined flood depth grids for the flood analysis and probabilistic analyses were performed to develop expected/estimated distribution of losses (mean return period losses) for hurricane wind and seismic hazards. The probabilistic model generates estimated damages and losses for specified return periods (e.g., 100- and 500-year). Table 5.1-2 displays the various levels of analyses that can be conducted using the Hazus software.

**Table 4.2-2. Summary of Hazus Analysis Levels**

| Hazus Analysis Levels |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Level 1               | Hazus provided hazard and inventory data with minimal outside data collection or mapping.  |
| Level 2               | Analysis involves augmenting the Hazus provided hazard and inventory data with more recent or detailed data for the study region, referred to as “local data”            |
| Level 3               | Analysis involves adjusting the built-in loss estimation models used for the hazard loss analyses. This Level is typical done in conjunction with the use of local data. |

**Dam Failure**

Assets that fall with dam inundation hazard areas within Mercer County are at greatest risk of impacts from dam failure events. A qualitative assessment was conducted for the dam failure hazard. Because of the sensitive nature of the dam failure inundation zones, potential losses have not been quantified and presented in the vulnerability assessment.

**Disease Outbreak**

All of Mercer County is exposed to disease outbreak events. A qualitative assessment was conducted for the disease outbreak hazard. Research from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention was utilized to qualitatively assess the most recent COVID-19 outbreak.

**Drought**

To assess the vulnerability of Mercer County to drought and its associated impacts, a qualitative assessment was conducted. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Census of Agriculture 2017 was used to estimate economic impacts. Information regarding the number of farms and farmland area was extracted from the report and summarized in the vulnerability assessment.

**Earthquake**

A probabilistic assessment was conducted for Mercer County for the 100 and 500-year mean return period (MRPs) through a Level 2 analysis in Hazus to analyze the earthquake hazard and provide a range of loss estimates. The probabilistic method uses information from historic earthquakes and inferred faults, locations



and magnitudes, and computes the probable ground shaking levels that may be experienced during a recurrence period by Census tract.

As noted in the Hazus Earthquake User Manual, “*Although the software offers users the opportunity to prepare comprehensive loss estimates, it should be recognized that uncertainties are inherent in any estimation methodology, even with state-of-the-art techniques. Any region or city studied will have an enormous variety of buildings and facilities of different sizes, shapes, and structural systems that have been constructed over a range of years under diverse seismic design codes. There are a variety of components that contribute to transportation and utility system damage estimations. These components can have differing seismic resistance.*” However, Hazus’ potential loss estimates are acceptable for the purposes of this HMP.

Groundwater was set at a depth of five (5) feet (default setting). The default assumption is a magnitude 7.0 earthquake for all return periods. In 2012, the New Jersey Department of Transportation published a map of zip-codes in New Jersey and their associated soil classification. It shows that Mercer County contains Class B, Class C, and D soils. An associated soil layer was imported into Hazus in order to estimate loss from ground-shaking. Although damages are estimated at the census tract level, results were presented at the municipal level. Since there are multiple census tracts that contain more than one jurisdiction, a density analysis was used to extract the percent of building structures that fall within each tract and jurisdiction. The percentage was multiplied against the results calculated for each tract and summed for each municipality.

Damage estimates are calculated for losses to buildings (structural and non-structural) and contents; structural losses include load carrying components of the structure, and non-structural losses include those to architectural, mechanical, and electrical components of the structure, such as nonbearing walls, veneer and finishes, HVAC systems, boilers, etc.

Additionally, an exposure analysis of the County’s assets (general building stock, population, critical facilities and lifelines, and new development) was conducted referencing the Class D soils. Soft soils (NEHRP Soil Class D) can amplify ground shaking to damaging levels even during a moderate earthquake. Therefore, buildings located on NEHRP Class D soils are at increased risk of damage from an earthquake.

## Flood

The 1- and 0.2-percent chance flood events were examined to evaluate Mercer County risk and vulnerability to the riverine flood hazard. These flood events are generally those considered by planners and evaluated under federal programs such as the NFIP.

The effective Mercer County FEMA Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) dated July 20, 2016 was used to evaluate exposure and determine potential future losses. A depth grid for the 1-percent annual chance flood event for a portion of the Delaware River was provided by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and FEMA Flood risk database dated December 12, 2019. A riverine depth grid for the 1-percent annual chance flood event not covered by the Delaware River study area was generated using the effective July 20, 2016 DFIRM boundaries and a 1-Meter resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) downloaded from the United States Geological Survey published in 2015. Methods used to develop the depth of flooding included using static base flood elevations (BFEs) in AH, and AE zones, cross section and BFE lines, and interpolation of all other areas without static BFEs or cross sections (generally A zones). The final depth grids were integrated into the Hazus v4.2 riverine flood model used to estimate potential losses for the 1-percent annual chance flood event.

To estimate exposure to the 1-percent- and 0.2-percent annual chance flood events, the DFIRM flood boundaries were overlaid on centroids of updated assets (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development). Centroids that intersected the flood boundaries were totaled to estimate the building replacement



cost value and population vulnerable to the flood inundation areas. A Level 2 Hazus v4.2 riverine flood analysis was performed. Both the critical facility and building inventories were formatted to be compatible with Hazus v4.2 and its Comprehensive Data Management System (CDMS). Once updated with the inventories, the Hazus riverine flood model was run to estimate potential losses in Mercer County for the 1-percent annual chance flood event. A user-defined analysis was also performed for the building stock. Buildings located within the floodplain were imported as user-defined facilities to estimate potential losses to the building stock at the structural level. Hazus calculated the estimated potential losses to the population (default 2010 U.S. Census data), potential damages to the general building stock, and potential damages to critical facility inventories based on the depth grids generated and the default Hazus v4.2 damage functions in the flood model.

In addition, projected sea-level rise data (in one-foot increments) available from the NOAA Office of Coastal Management (<https://coast.noaa.gov/slrdata/>) was considered and used for this analysis to understand the assets within communities projected to be impacted by sea level rise. Please note these levels do not include additional storm surge due to a hurricane or Nor'easter. The current Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) also do not include the effects of sea-level rise. Rutgers University Science and Technical Advisory Panel (STAP) Report, entitled, *Assessing New Jersey's Exposure to Sea-Level Rise and Coastal Storms: Report of the New Jersey Climate Adaptation Alliance Science and Technical Advisory Panel* details several projected sea level rise scenarios for New Jersey between 2030 and 2100. Using these estimates, the sea level rise +1 ft and sea level rise +3 ft inundation areas were chosen and used in the 2019 New Jersey State Hazard Mitigation Plan. To be consistent with the State HMP, these spatial datasets were used for the 2021 Mercer HMP update in addition to the +2 ft and +4 ft inundation areas.

Locations identified as repetitive and severe repetitive properties were provided by the County and summarized to obtain an understanding of repetitive flood loss areas. County Planning Partners were also asked to identify problem areas of flooding via survey 123, which can be found on jurisdiction maps in Volume II, Section 9.

### Geological Hazards

This updated Hazard Mitigation Plan referenced landslide and subsidence hazard areas to assess the County's risk to the geologic hazard. To assess the vulnerability of the County to landslide events and its associated impacts, a quantitative assessment was conducted using ESRI ArcGIS v10.5.1 and a landslide layer that was created using the 2015 Digital Elevation Model (DEM) from the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The ArcGIS slope tool was used to calculate the degrees of the slopes in the DEM. Areas where slopes are greater than or equal to 15-percent grade may be susceptible to landslide events. Therefore, areas where the slope angles were equal to or greater than 15-percent grade were converted to degrees (e.g., 15-percent is equal to 8.5 degrees). Degrees that are equal to or greater than 8.5 were converted to vectors, which created the final landslide hazard layer. To estimate potential exposure to the landslide hazard area, assets (population, building stock, critical facilities and lifelines, new development) with their centroid in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the numbers and values exposed to the landslide hazard boundary.

To assess the vulnerability of the County to subsidence events and its associated impacts, a quantitative assessment was conducted using a 2019 bedrock spatial layer from New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP). Areas of limestone, dolomite, and dolomitic sandstones were extracted from this dataset to represent areas of karst, carbonate rock. Karst describes a distinctive topography that indicates dissolution of underlying carbonate rocks (limestone and dolomite) by surface water or groundwater over time. The dissolution process causes surface depressions and the development of sinkholes, sinking stream, enlarged bedrock fractures, caves, and underground streams. To estimate potential exposure to the subsidence hazard area, assets (population, building stock, critical facilities and lifelines, new development) with their centroid in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the numbers and values exposed to the subsidence hazard boundary.



### Hazardous Materials

All of Mercer County is exposed to hazardous materials events; both in-transit and at fixed sites. Impacts depend upon the location of release and chemical and physical properties of the hazardous material(s) involved. A quantitative assessment was conducted for the hazardous materials hazard.

To assess the County's vulnerability to hazardous materials, a 1-mile buffer was placed around the following 2017 NJOIT roadway types: 100 series (NJ Turnpike), 200 Series (I 195, I 295, etc.), US 1, US 130, State 33, State 129, State 206, State 29, State 31, 500-series County roads; a 2-mile buffer was placed around 2012 NJDOT railroad routes; a 1-mile buffer was placed around an identified port facility; and a 1 mile buffer was placed around 2020 EPA superfund and TRI sites. To estimate potential exposure to the subsidence hazard area, assets (population, building stock, critical facilities and lifelines, new development) with their centroid in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the numbers and values exposed to the hazardous materials hazard boundary.

### Hurricane/Tropical Storm

A Hazus probabilistic analysis was performed to analyze the wind hazard losses for Mercer County for the 100- and 500-year mean return period events. The probabilistic Hazus hurricane model activates a database of thousands of potential storms that have tracks and intensities reflecting the full spectrum of Atlantic hurricanes observed since 1886 and identifies those with tracks associated with the County. Hazus contains data on historic hurricane events and wind speeds. It also includes surface roughness and vegetation (tree coverage) maps for the area. Surface roughness and vegetation data support the modeling of wind force across various types of land surfaces. Default demographic and updated building and critical facility inventories in Hazus were used for the analysis. Although damages are estimated at the census tract level, results were presented at the municipal level. Since there are multiple census tracts that contain more than one jurisdiction, a density analysis was used to extract the percent of building structures that fall within each tract and jurisdiction. The percentage was multiplied against the results calculated for each tract and summed for each municipality.

In addition to estimating potential losses due to wind, an exposure analysis was conducted using the "Sea – Lake Overland Surge from Hurricanes – SLOSH Model, which represents potential flooding from worst-case combinations of hurricane direction, forward speed, landfall point, and high astronomical tide were used to estimate exposure. Please note these inundation zones do not include riverine flooding caused by hurricane surge or inland freshwater flooding. The 2014 model, developed by the NOAA National Hurricane Center to forecast surges that occur from wind and pressure forces of hurricanes, considers only storm surge height and does not consider the effects of waves. The SLOSH spatial data includes boundaries for Category 1 through Category 4 hurricane events.

Asset data (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development) were used to support an evaluation of assets exposed and potential impacts and losses associated with this hazard. To determine what assets are exposed to storm surge, the County's assets were overlaid with the SLOSH hazard area. Assets with their centroid located in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the replacement cost value (structure and content) and population exposed to the hazard.

### Infestation and Invasive Species

All of Mercer County is exposed to infestation and invasive species. This is a new hazard of concern for the County, and a qualitative assessment was conducted. Resources from the State of New Jersey 2018 All-Hazard Mitigation Plan, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and the United States Department of Agriculture were used to assess the County's risk to invasive species.



### Nor'Easter

All of Mercer County is exposed to Nor'Easters. A qualitative assessment was conducted for the Nor'Easter hazard. Resources from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of the New Jersey State Climatologist, United States Department of Agriculture, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and peer-reviewed scientific publications were referenced to assess the County's risk to the Nor'Easter hazard.

### Severe Weather

All of Mercer County is exposed to severe weather events. A qualitative assessment was conducted for the severe weather hazard. Information from the National Weather Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the New Jersey State 2019 Hazard Mitigation Plan, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention were used to assess the potential impacts to the County's assets.

### Severe Winter Weather

All of Mercer County (population, buildings and environment) is exposed and vulnerable to the winter storm hazard. In general, structural impacts include damage to roofs and building frames, rather than building content. Current modeling tools are not available to estimate specific losses for this hazard. A percentage of the custom-building stock structural replacement cost value was utilized to estimate damages that could result from winter storm conditions (i.e., 1-percent, 5-percent, and 10-percent of total replacement cost value). Given professional knowledge and currently available information, the potential losses for this hazard are considered to be overestimated; hence, providing a conservative estimate for losses associated with winter storm events.

### Wildfire

The NJFFS uses Wildfire Fuel Hazard data to assign wildfire fuel hazard rankings across the State. This data, developed in 2009, is based upon NJDEP's 2002 Land Use/Land Cover datasets and NJDEP's 2002 10-meter Digital Elevation Grid datasets. For the wildfire hazard, the NJFFS Wildfire Fuel Hazard "extreme", "very high" and "high" areas are identified as the wildfire hazard area. The defined hazard area was overlaid upon the asset data (population, building stock, critical facilities and potential new development) to estimate the exposure to each hazard.

To determine what assets are exposed to wildfire, the County's assets (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development) were overlaid with the hazard area. Assets with their centroid located in the hazard area were totaled to estimate building replacement cost value and population exposed to a wildfire event.

### Considerations for Mitigation and Next Steps

The following items are to be discussed for considerations for the next plan update to enhance the vulnerability assessment:

- All Hazards
  - Utilize updated and current demographic data. If 2020 U.S. Census demographic data is available at the U.S. Census block level during the next plan update, use the census block estimates and residential structures for a more precise distribution of population, or the current American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate populations counts at the Census tract level.
- Flood
  - The general building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes regarding first floor elevation and foundation type (basement, slab on grade, etc.) to enhance loss estimates.
  - Conduct a Hazus loss analysis for more frequent flood events (e.g., 10 and 50-year flood events).





- Further refine the repetitive loss area analysis.
- Continue to expand and update urban flood areas to further inform mitigation.
- Conduct Hazus loss analysis in the latest version of Hazus
- Earthquake
  - Identify unreinforced masonry in critical facilities and privately-owned buildings (i.e., residences) by accessing local knowledge, tax assessor information, and/or pictometry/orthophotos. These buildings may not withstand earthquakes of certain magnitudes and plans to provide emergency response/recovery efforts at these properties can be developed.
  - Conduct Hazus loss analysis in the latest version of Hazus
- Extreme Temperatures
  - Track extreme temperature data for injuries, deaths, shelter needs, pipe freezing, agricultural losses, and other impacts to determine distributions of most at risk areas.
- Geological Hazards
  - If available during the next plan update, update the risk assessment using a comprehensive landslide susceptibility and subsidence incidence hazard data.
  - Collect data on historic costs incurred to reconstruct buildings, cultural resources and/or infrastructure due to geologic hazards.
- Hurricanes/Tropical Storms
  - The general building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes regarding protection against strong winds, such as hurricane straps, to enhance loss estimates.
  - Estimate storm surge related losses using the HAZUS flood model, if the data is available.
  - If available during the next plan update, update the risk assessment using a comprehensive coastal erosion hazard area map and updated sea level rise inundation areas.
  - Collect data on historic costs incurred to reconstruct buildings, cultural resources and/or infrastructure due to coastal erosion impacts.
  - Integrate evacuation route data that is currently being developed.
  - Conduct Hazus loss analysis in the latest version of Hazus
- Wildfire
  - General building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes such as roofing material or fire detection equipment or integrate distance to fuels as another measure of vulnerability.
- Disease Outbreak, Hazardous Substances
  - Additional information regarding localized concerns and past impacts may be collected and analyzed.
  - Assess the impacts and outcome from COVID-19.

### 4.2.3 DATA SOURCE SUMMARY

Table 4.2-3 summarizes the data sources used for the risk assessment for this plan.

**Table 4.2-3. Risk Assessment Data Documentation**

| Data                    | Source   | Date       | Format                       |
|-------------------------|--|------------|------------------------------|
| Population data         | U.S. Census Bureau;<br>American Community<br>Survey 5-Year Estimates | 2010; 2019 | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Building Footprints     | Mercer County  | 2020       | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Parcel Boundaries       | Mercer County  | 2019       |                              |
| MODIV Tax Assessor data | NJ Office of Information<br>Technology                               | 2019       | Digital (GIS/Tabular) Format |



| Data  | Source  | Date      | Format                       |
|---|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| Critical facilities                                   | Mercer County Steering Committee and Planning Committee | 2020/2021 | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Digitized Effective FIRM maps                         | FEMA  | 2016      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| NEHRP Soil  | NJDOT   | 2012      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Landslide Susceptibility (Steep Slopes >15% Grade)    | USGS/Tetra Tech   | 2015/2021 | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Carbonate Rock Soil Data                              | NJDEP   | 2019      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Wildfire Fuel Hazard                                  | NJFFS   | 2009      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Census of Agriculture                                 | USDA  | 2017      | Digital (PDF Report) Format  |
| Sea Level Rise  | NOAA  | 2017      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Sea-Lake Overland Surge from Hurricanes (SLOSH) Model | NOAA  | 2014      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| 1-Meter Resolution Digital Elevation Model            | United State Geological Survey (USGS)                   | 2015      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Hazardous Materials Facilities                        | EPA   | 2021      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Hazardous Materials Roadways                          | NJOIT   | 2017      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Hazardous Materials Railways                          | NJDOT   | 2012      | Digital (GIS) Format         |
| Dams  | Mercer County OEM                                       | 2021      | Digital (GIS/Tabular) Format |

**Limitations**

Loss estimates, exposure assessments, and hazard-specific vulnerability evaluations rely on the best available data and methodologies. Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology and arise in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from the following:

- 1) Approximations and simplifications necessary to conduct such a study
- 2) Incomplete or dated inventory, demographic, or economic parameter data
- 3) The unique nature, geographic extent, and severity of each hazard
- 4) Mitigation measures already employed by the participating municipalities
- 5) The amount of advance notice residents have to prepare for a specific hazard event
- 6) Uncertainty of climate change projections

These factors can result in a range of uncertainty in loss estimates, possibly by a factor of two or more. Therefore, potential exposure and loss estimates are approximate. These results do not predict precise results and should be used to understand relative risk. Over the long term, Mercer County will collect additional data to collect additional data, update and refine existing inventories, to assist in estimating potential losses.

Potential economic loss is based on the present value of the general building stock utilizing best available data. The County acknowledges significant impacts may occur to critical facilities and infrastructure as a result of these hazard events causing great economic loss. However, monetized damage estimates to critical facilities and infrastructure, and economic impacts were not quantified and require more detailed loss analyses. In addition, economic impacts to industry such as tourism and the real-estate market were not analyzed.